Rare beauty and charm decays at LHCb

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Marcin Chrząszcz}^1 \\ \mbox{on behalf of the LHCb collaboration} \end{array}$

¹ University of Zurich

Heavy Quarks and Leptons 2014



April 11, 2015

- 1. Rare B decays:
 - $B \rightarrow K \pi \pi \gamma$
 - ► $\mathsf{B} \to \mu \mu$.
 - $\blacktriangleright \ b \to s\ell\ell.$
- 2. Charm decays:
 - ▶ $D \rightarrow \mu \mu$.



Why rare decays?

- CKM structure in SM allows only the charged interactions to change flavour.
 - Other interactions are flavour conserving.
- One can escape the CKM structure and produce $b \rightarrow s$ and $b \rightarrow d$ only at loop level.
 - \blacktriangleright This kind of processes are suppressed in SM \rightarrow Rare decays.











Tools

Operator Product Expansion and Effective Field Theory

$$H_{eff} = -\frac{4G_f}{\sqrt{2}} VV^* \sum_{i} \left[\underbrace{C_i(\mu)O_i(\mu)}_{\text{left-handed}} + \underbrace{C_i'(\mu)O_i'(\mu)}_{\text{right-handed}} \right] \xrightarrow{i=1.2 \text{ Tree}} \\ \stackrel{i=3.6.8 \text{ Gluon penguin}}{\stackrel{i=7}{\text{Photon penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=9.10 \text{ EW penguin}}{\stackrel{i=8}{\text{Scalar penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=0 \text{ VV}^*}{\stackrel{i=1.2 \text{ Tree}}{\stackrel{i=3.6.8 \text{ Gluon penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=9.10 \text{ EW penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}} \\ \stackrel{i=0 \text{ VV}^*}{\stackrel{i=1.2 \text{ Tree}}{\stackrel{i=3.6.8 \text{ Gluon penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9.10 \text{ EW penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}}} \\ \stackrel{i=0 \text{ VV}^*}{\stackrel{i=1.2 \text{ Tree}}{\stackrel{i=3.6.8 \text{ Gluon penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9.10 \text{ EW penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ Pseudoscalar penguin}}{\stackrel{i=9 \text{ VV}^*}{\stackrel{i=1.2 \text{ VV}^*}{\stackrel{i=1$$



- $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ first observed penguin!
 - CLEO, [PRL, 71 (1993) 674]
- ▶ B-factories probed NP measuring, inclusively/ semi-inclusively $\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$
- Is there anyway LHCb can contribute?
 - ► Measurements of B(b → sγ) very difficult.
 - Can probe probe polarization!



- Charged current interactions: $C_7/C_7' \sim m_{\rm b}/m_{\rm s}$
- Can test C_7/C_7' using:
 - Mixing induced CP violation: Atwood et. al. PRL 79 (1997) 185-188
 - Λ_b baryons: Hiller & kagan PRD 65 (2002) 074038





Photon polarization from $\mathsf{B}^+ o \mathsf{K}^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \gamma$

- ► OR: Study $B \rightarrow K^{**}\gamma$ decays like $B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)\gamma$
 - Gronau & Pirjol PRD 66 (2002) 054008
- The trick is to get the photon polarization from the up-down asymmetry of photon direction in the Kππ rest frame.
 - \blacktriangleright No asymmetry \rightarrow Unpolarised photons.
- Conceptionally this measurement is similar to the Wu experiment, which first observed parity violation.







$\mathsf{B}^+ o \mathsf{K}^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \gamma$ at LHCb

- ► LHCb looked at $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \gamma$, using un-converted photons.
- Got over 13.000 candidates in 3 fb^{-1} !
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 161801
- ► K⁺π⁻π⁺ system has variety of resonances.
 - $K\pi\pi\pi$ system studied inclusively.
 - Bin the mass and look for polarization there.



Fit with $(C'_7 - C_7)/(C'_7 + C_7) = 0$, Best fit



- Combining the 4 bins, gives 5.2σ significance from no photon polarization hypothesis.
- Unfortunately without understanding the hadron system it is impossible to tell if the photon is left or right -handed.



 \rightarrow First observation of photon polarization in b \rightarrow s γ !



- Clean theoretical prediction, GIM and helicity suppressed in the SM:
 - $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^- \mu^+) = (3.65 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$
 - $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^- \mu^+) = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-10}$
- Sensitive to contributions from scalar and pesudoscalar couplings.
- Probing: MSSM, higgs sector, etc.
- ▶ In MSSM: $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B}^0_{\mathsf{s}} \to \mu^- \mu^+) \sim \mathsf{tg}^6 \, \beta / m_A^4$







$\mathsf{B}^0 o \mu^+ \mu^-$ searches

► Background rejection power is a key feature of rare decays → use multivariate classifiers (BDT) and strong PID.



▶ Normalize the BF to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu\mu)K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K\pi$.



$\mathsf{B^0} ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ Results

- ▶ Nov. 2012:
 - First evidence 3.5σ for $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$. with 2.1 fb^{-1} .
- ► Summer 2013:
 - Full data sample:
 3 fb⁻¹.



- Measured BF: $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B}^0_{\mathsf{s}} \to \mu^- \mu^+) = (2.9^{+1.1}_{-1.0}(\textit{stat.})^{+0.3}_{-0.1}(\textit{syst.})) \times 10^{-9}$
- 4.0σ significance!
- $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B}^0
 ightarrow \mu^- \mu^+) < 7 imes 10^{-10}$ at 95% CL
- PRL 110 (2013) 021801
- ► CMS result: PRL 111 (2013) 101805



LHCb+CMS Combination

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B}^0_{\mathsf{s}} o \mu^- \mu^+) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) imes 10^{-9} \ \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{B}^0 o \mu^- \mu^+) = (3.6^{+1.6}_{-1.4}) imes 10^{-10}$$



Full combination CMS+LHCb with simultaneous fit close to completion!

► LHCb-CONF-2013-012



$\mathsf{B}^0 ightarrow \mathsf{K}^* \mu \mu$ angular distributions

- Can probe photon polarization using virtual photons in b → sℓℓ.
- LHCb favourite: $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$.
- Sensitive to lot of new physics models.
- Decay described by three angles θ_l, θ_K, φ and dimuon invariant mass q².
- Analysis is performed in bins of q^2 .





$\mathsf{B}^0 o \mathsf{K}^* \mu \mu$ angular distributions

Angular distributions depends on 11 angular terms:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4 \Gamma[B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-]}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell \,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_K \,\mathrm{d}\phi \,\mathrm{d}q^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \left[J_1^s \sin^2\theta_K + J_1^c \cos^2\theta_K + J_2^s \sin^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell + J_2^c \cos^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell + J_3^c \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos 2\phi + J_4 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_\ell \cos \phi + J_5 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_\ell \sin \phi + J_5 \sin 2\theta_K \sin \theta_\ell \cos \phi + J_6 \cos^2\theta_K \cos \theta_\ell + J_7 \sin 2\theta_K \sin \theta_\ell \sin \phi + J_8 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_\ell \sin \phi + J_9 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin 2\phi \right]$$

where the J_i are bilinear combinations of helicity amplitudes.

- ► Not enough events in our data sample to fit for 11 parameters → need to simplify!
- ► Can use symmetries, to reduced the the parameters to 9 → still a bit large!



- One can simplify the angular distribution by folding: eg. $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi$ for $(\phi < 0)$.
- Cancels terms with $\cos \phi$ and $\sin \phi$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4 \Gamma[B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-]}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell \,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell \,\mathrm{d}\phi\,\mathrm{d}q^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \left[J_1^s \sin^2\theta_K + J_1^c \cos^2\theta_K + J_2^s \sin^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell + J_2^c \cos^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell + J_3 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos 2\phi + J_4 \sin 2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos \phi + J_5 \sin 2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin \phi + J_9 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin 2\phi \right]$$



$\mathsf{B}^0 o \mathsf{K}^* \mu \mu$ angular distributions

 Different foldings cancel different angular observables. [PRL 111 191801 (2013)]



- Observables $P'_{4,5} = S_{4,5}/\sqrt{F_L(1-F_L)}$
- Leading form-factor uncertainties cancel.
- ▶ In 1 fb^{-1} , LHCb observes a local discreapncy of 3.7 σ in P'_5 .
- Probability that at least one bin varies by this much is 0.5%.
- SM prediction form: JHEP 05 (2013) 137

Understanding the $\mathsf{B}^0 o \mathsf{K}^* \mu \mu$ anomaly 1/2

- Matias, Decotes-Genon & Virto performed a global fit to the available b → sγ abd b → sℓℓ.
- Found 4.5 σ discrepancy from SM.
- Fit favours $C_9^{NP} = 1.5$
- PRD 88 074002 (2013)
- Straub & Altmannshofer performed a global analysis and found discrepancies at the level of 3σ. Data again best describes a modified C₉.
- Data can be explained by introducing a flavour changing Z' boson, with mass O(10 TeV)
- ▶ EPJC 73 2646 (2013)





Lepton universality

- ► If Z' is responsible for the P'_5 anomaly, does it couple equally to all flavours? $R_{\rm K} = \frac{\int_{q^2=1\,{\rm GeV}^2/c^4}^{q^2=6\,{\rm GeV}^2/c^4} ({\rm d}\mathcal{B}[B^+ \to K^+\mu^+\mu^-]/{\rm d}q^2){\rm d}q^2}{\int_{q^2=1\,{\rm GeV}^2/c^4}^{q^2=6\,{\rm GeV}^2/c^4} ({\rm d}\mathcal{B}[B^+ \to K^+e^+e^-]/{\rm d}q^2){\rm d}q^2} = 1 \pm \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) .$
- Challenging analysis.
- Migration of events modeled by MC.
- Correct bremsstrahlung.
- ► Take double ratio with $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ to cancel systematics.
- ► In $3fb^{-1}$, LHCb measures $R_{K} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074}(stat.)^{+0.036}_{-0.036}(syst.)$
- Consistent with SM at 2.6σ .



LHCb-PAPER-2014-024 [Preliminary],

Belle [PRL 103 (2009) 171801],

BaBar [PRD 86 (2012) 032012]



FCNC in charm decays

- GIM cancelation effective in c → u transitions due to small size of m_b.
- SM prediction: ${\cal B}({\sf D}^0 o \mu\mu) \sim 6 imes 10^{-11}$





- Use $D^{*\pm}$ and exploit small Δm for background suppression.
- Limitation is $\pi \rightarrow \mu$ mis-id.
- Limit: $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu) < 6.2 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL
- ▶ PLB 725 (2013) 15-24

Marcin Chrząszcz (UZH)



- Rare decays play important role in hutting NP.
- Can access NP scales beyond reach of GPD.
- Tension in $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$, theory correct?
- List of decays presented in this talk is just a tip of iceberg:
 - Please look at ours: isospin, A_{CP}.
 - More are on their way.



