





$$\Lambda_C \rightarrow \rho\mu\mu, \Lambda_C \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$$



Marcin Chrzęszcz<sup>1,2</sup>, Johannes Albrecht<sup>3</sup>, Tadeusz Lesiak<sup>2</sup>, Oliver Grunberg<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> University of Zurich
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow
- <sup>3</sup> Technische Universitaet Dortmund
- <sup>4</sup> University of Rostock

8<sup>th</sup> May 2013



University of Zurich  
UZH







Motivation

Strategy

Comparison  $\Lambda_C$  vs  $\tau$

Work done so far



# Motivation

Following the success of  $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$  and  $\tau \rightarrow p\mu\mu$  (published 2 weeks ago) we decided to go one step further and analyse analogous channels for  $\Lambda_c$ .

- Decays have different physics motivations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau \rightarrow 3\mu \text{ LFV} \\ \tau^+ \rightarrow p\mu^- \mu^+ |B-L| = 0 \\ \tau^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\mu^+ \mu^+ |B-L| = 0 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_c \rightarrow 3\mu |B-L| = 0 \\ \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\mu^- \mu^+ \text{ FCNC} \\ \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\mu^+ \mu^+ |B-L| = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- The current limits (@ 90% CL):

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\mu^- \mu^+) < 4.4 \times 10^{-5}, \text{ arXiv:1107.4465}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\mu^+ \mu^+) < 9.4 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow 3\mu) \text{ No constraints!}$$

# Strategy

Follow the strategy of  $\tau$  analysis:

- Take prompt  $\Lambda_c$ , separate approach to SL.
- Loose cut preselection.
- Train MVA on MC prompt signal and recalibrate on data.
- Mass resolution we expect similar to  $\tau$ .  $15\text{MeV}$  for  $3\mu$  and  $9\text{MeV}$  for  $p\mu\mu$ . Mean recalibrated from data on  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ .
- Normalize to  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ .
- Optimise the binning in MVA.
- CLs method for limit.

# Comparison $\Lambda_c$ vs $\tau$

## Strong sides of $\Lambda_c$ :

- No SM background in  $3\mu$  case ( $D_s \rightarrow \eta(\mu\mu\gamma)\mu\nu$ )
- Smaller combinatorial background than in  $\tau$  decays. 😊

## Weaker sides of $\Lambda_c$ :

- Smaller no. of  $\Lambda_c$  than  $\tau$  to begin with.
- Need to study very carefully  $\Lambda_c$  production and backgrounds. 😞


# Work done so far

- $\Lambda_c \rightarrow p\mu\mu$  is already stripped (line was with  $\tau$  line all along).
- $\Lambda_c \rightarrow 3\mu$  is being stripped in incremental stripping.
- Requested 1M signal samples. Production will start next weak.
- Background studies. (see backup slides).

# Backup Slides

Mass Lc

mylc_h	
Entries	28615
Mean	2084
RMS	442.8





From two B trees and other

hcat0

Entries 23241

Mean 2055

RMS 406.4

